arXiv:math/0403025v1 [math.FA] 1 Mar 2004

OPERATORS ON SPACES GENERATED BY INFINITE DIMENSIONAL APPELL POLYNOMIALS

EUGENE YABLONSKY

1st February 2008

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Worcester Polytechnic Institute, 100 Institute Road
Worcester, MA 01609, USA
eugyabl@wpi.edu

Abstract

It is known that many constructions arising in the classical Gaussian infinite dimensional analysis can be extended to the case of more general measures. One such extension can be obtained through biorthogonal systems of Appell polynomials and generalized functions. In this paper, we consider linear continuous operators from a nuclear Fréchet space of test functions to itself in this more general setting. We construct an isometric integral transform (biorthogonal CS-transform) of those operators into the space of germs of holomorphic functions on a locally convex infinite dimensional nuclear space. Using such transform, we provide characterization theorems and give biorthogonal chaos expansion for operators.

Introduction

Recently, many researchers[5, 1, 2, 6] have been working on extending Gaussian Infinite Dimensional Analysis and White Noise Calculus beyond the case of the Gaussian measure. One possible approach to this problem is through *biorthogonal* systems of polynomials and generalized functions. That approach was discussed by Yu. Daletsky, S. Albeverio, Yu. Kondratiev, L. Streit, W. Westerkamp, J.-A. Yan, J. Silva, et al.[7, 6, 3]

To illustrate their idea, consider a measure $d\mu(x) = p(x)dx$ on $\mathbb{R}$, where $p(x)$ is a smooth positive function of class $L_1(\mathbb{R}, \exp(\varepsilon|x|)dx)$, $\varepsilon > 0$. In case of the Gaussian measure, the Taylor expansion of the normalized exponential function $e_\mu(\xi; x) := \frac{\exp(x\xi)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(x\xi)d\mu(x)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\xi^n}{n!} P_n(x)$ generates an orthogonal system of Hermite polynomials. In case of a more general measure, the Appell polynomials P_n are not necessarily orthogonal; however, one can construct a dual system $Q_n(x) = \left(\frac{d^n}{dx^n}\right)^* \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}} = (-1)^n \frac{p^{(n)}(x)}{p(x)}$. This biorthogonal system was introduced for smooth measures by Dalecky et al.[3, 1] and later extended to a broader class of non-degenerate measures with analytic characteristic functionals by Kondratiev et al.[7, 6]

Using the biorthogonal system, the mentioned authors constructed the nuclear spaces $(\mathcal{N})^\beta$ of "Appell" test functions and the dual spaces $(\mathcal{N})^{-\beta}$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, with the space $(\mathcal{N})^{-1}$ being the largest and the most technically challenging. All those spaces were characterized in terms of so called C_μ- and S_μ- transforms, which are biorthogonal analogues of the S-transform in white noise calculus.

In this paper, we characterize linear continuous operators $(\mathcal{N})^1 \to (\mathcal{N})^1$. For any such operator B we define a "local $CS_{\mu,\nu}$-symbol" $\check{B}_{\mu,\nu} = C_\mu(Be_\nu)$, where μ, ν are two measures on a conuclear space $\mathcal{N}'$, and e_ν is a normalized exponent. The definition of that symbol needs to be carefully interpreted, as e_ν and Be_ν belong not to $(\mathcal{N})^1$ but to larger Hilbert spaces. The symbol $\check{B}_{\mu,\nu}$ is actually a germ of complex-valued functions holomorphic in *cylindrical* 0-neighborhoods of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$. We show that under certain conditions it uniquely characterizes the operator B. Our approach is similar in spirit to one used for characterization of white noise operators (see, e.g., Obata.[8]), however our operator symbols are holomorphic only locally, in very special cylindrical domains.

The case of operators $(\mathcal{N})^1 \to (\mathcal{N})^{-1}$ is studied in the author's thesis[11] by analyzing so called local $S_{\mu\nu}$-symbols $\hat{B}_{\mu,\nu} = S_\mu(Be_\nu)$.

The paper is organizes as follows. Section 1 recalls definitions related to locally holomorphic and entire functions on nuclear spaces. Section 2 describes the spaces of test and generalized functions introduced by Kondratiev et. al.[7, 1, 6] Those spaces are defined by mean of biorthogonal Appell systems. Section 3 introduces local symbols of operators and gives a characterization for operators $(\mathcal{N})^1 \to (\mathcal{N})^1$.

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Nuclear spaces

Let $\mathcal{N} \subset H \subset \mathcal{N}'$ be a real nuclear Gel'fand triple and $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ the canonical bilinear form on $\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}'$ such that $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ is an extension of the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on H. Denoted by $|\cdot|$ the norm on H. Without loss of generality, we can always assume that the nuclear Fréchet space $\mathcal{N}$ is represented as a projective limit of a sequence $H = H_0 \supset H_1 \supset H_2 \supset ...$ of Hilbert spaces with norms $|\cdot| = |\cdot|_0 \leq |\cdot|_1 \leq |\cdot|_2 \leq ...$; moreover, for every H_p there is $p' > p$ such that the embedding $I_{p',p} : H_{p'} \hookrightarrow H_p$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

Let $H_{-p} := H_p'$ be the dual to the Hilbert space H_p. Then the space dual to $\mathcal{N}$ is given by $\mathcal{N}' = \operatorname{ind} \lim_{p\to\infty} H_{-p}$. See Schaefer[9] for details. We will denote the Hilbert norm on H_{-p} by $|\cdot|_{-p}$.

Tensor product of nuclear spaces (or π-product) $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ is the nuclear space defined as the projective limit of Hilbert space tensor products $H_p \otimes L_p$, $p = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. Similarly, we define tensor powers $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}$. The space dual to $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}$ can be presented as the inductive limit $\mathcal{N}'^{\otimes n} := \operatorname{ind}\lim H_{-p}^{\otimes n}$. We will preserve the notations $|\cdot|_p$ and $|\cdot|_{-p}$ for the norms on the tensor powers $H_p^{\otimes n}$ and $H_{-p}^{\otimes n}$ respectively, as well as their complexifications $H_{p,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes n}$, and $H_{-p,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes n}$. Symmetric tensor product will be denoted by $\hat{\otimes}$.

1.2 Locally Holomorphic and Entire Functions

Let $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the complexification of $\mathcal{N}$. We will consider functions holomorphic in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Fact 1.1. *A function* $G : \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{C}$ *is holomorhic at* 0 *if and only if*

1. There exist p and $\rho > 0$ such that for all $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with $|\xi_0|_p \leq \rho$ and for all $\xi \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$ the function of one complex variable $\lambda \mapsto G(\xi_0 + \lambda\xi)$ is analytic at $0 \in \mathbb{C}$, and
2. There exists $c > 0$ such that for all $\xi \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with $|\xi|_p \leq \rho$, we have $|G(\xi)| \leq c$.

We do not discern between different restrictions of one function, i.e., we identify F and G if there exists an open 0-neighborhood $U \subset \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $F(\xi) = G(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in U$. Denote by $\mathrm{Hol}_0(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}})$ the algebra of germs of functions $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic at 0. That algebra is equipped with the inductive limit topology given by the family of norms

$$\mathsf{n}_{p,l,\infty}(G) \quad = \sup_{|\xi|_p \leq 2^{-l}} |G(\xi)|\,, \quad p, l \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Definition 1.2. A function $G : \mathcal{N}'_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{C}$ is an *entire function of growth* $k \in [1, 2]$ *and a minimal type* if G is holomorphic on every $H_{-p,\mathbb{C}}$, $p = 0, 1, \ldots$, and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ such that $|G(z)| \leq C \exp(\varepsilon |z|_{-p}^k)$, $z \in H_{-p,\mathbb{C}}$.

Denote by $\mathcal{E}^k_{\min}(\mathcal{N}'_{\mathbb{C}})$ the space of all such functions. The space is endowed with the projective limit topology with respect to the countable system of norms

$$\mathsf{n}_{p,l,k}(G) \quad = \sup_{z \in H_{-p,\mathbb{C}}} |G(z)| \exp(-2^{-l} |z|_{-p}^k), \;\; p, l \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For more details about these spaces see, e.g., Kondratiev et al.[7] and the textbook of Dineen[4].

2 Biorthogonal Appell System

Definition 2.1. A function $\phi : \mathcal{N}' \to \mathbb{C}$ of the form

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \langle x^{\otimes n} | \phi_n \rangle \quad \phi_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$$

is called a *continuous polynomial* on $\mathcal{N}'$. The set of all continuous polynomials is denoted by $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}')$, while the dual space is denoted by $\mathcal{P}'(\mathcal{N}')$.

Consider the σ-algebra $C(\mathcal{N}')$ generated by cylindrical sets on $\mathcal{N}'$, which coincides with the Borel σ-algebras generated by the strong and inductive limit topology. We consider the class of measures μ on $C(\mathcal{N}')$ satisfying to the following assumptions:

- ASSUMPTION 1. The measure μ on the space $\mathcal{N}'$ has an analytic Laplace transform in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$

  $$\mathrm{E}_\mu(\exp\langle\cdot|\xi\rangle) = \int_{\mathcal{N}'} e^{\langle x|\theta\rangle} d\mu(x) \ \in \ \mathrm{Hol}_0(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}});$$

- ASSUMPTION 2. The measure μ is non-degenerate, that is for every continuous polynomial $\phi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}')$

  $$\phi = 0 \ \ \mu - \text{almost everywhere} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \phi \equiv 0.$$

It follows from the Assumption 1 that $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}')$ is densely embedded into $L^2(\mu)$ (see the book of Skorohod[10], Section 10 for details). We obtain the Gel'fand triple $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}') \subset L^2(\mu) \subset \mathcal{P}'(\mathcal{N}')$. The bilinear dual pairing between $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}')$ and $\mathcal{P}'(\mathcal{N}')$ with respect to μ is denoted by $\langle\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle\rangle_\mu$; for $\phi \in L^2(\mu)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}')$ we have $\langle\langle \phi \mid \psi \rangle\rangle_\mu = \int_{\mathcal{N}'} \phi(x)\psi(x) d\mu(x)$.

2.1 Normalized Exponentials and Appell Polynomials

Consider a normalized exponent

$$e_\mu(\xi; x) \quad := \quad \frac{\exp\langle x|\xi\rangle}{\mathrm{E}_\mu(\exp\langle x|\xi\rangle)}\,, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}, x \in \mathcal{N}'_{\mathbb{C}}\,.$$

It is a well defined function for ξ in some 0-neighborhood $U_0 \subset \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

For every $x \in \mathcal{N}'_{\mathbb{C}}$, the function $\xi \mapsto e_\mu(\xi; x)$ is holomorphic (and also bounded) on some 0-neighborhood $U_0 = \{\xi \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}; |\xi|_{p_0} < 2^{-q_0}\}$. Consider its Taylor series about the point $\xi = 0$

$$e_\mu(\xi; x) \quad = \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} d^n e_\mu(0; x)\xi^n,$$

where $d^n e_\mu(0; x)$ is a symmetric n-form, and we use the notation

$$d^n e_\mu(0; x)\xi^n := d^n e_\mu(0; x)(\xi, \ldots, \xi)\,.$$

Using the polarization identity, we obtain that the form $d^n e_\mu(0; x)$ is $H_{p,\mathbb{C}}$-continuous on the whole $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$, i.e.

$$|\frac{1}{n!} d^n e_\mu(0; x)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n)| \leq \text{const} \prod_{j=1}^{n} |\xi_j|_p\,.$$

By the kernel theorem, for all $p' > p$ such that the embedding $i_{p',p} : H_{p'} \to H_p$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator, there exist unique kernels $P_{n,\mu}(x) \in H_{-p',\mathbf{C}}^{\hat{\otimes}n}$ (x is fixed) such that

$$d^n e_\mu(0;x)\xi^n := \langle P_{n,\mu}(x)|\xi^{\otimes n}\rangle\,.$$

The system $\mathbb{P}_\mu = \left\{\langle P_{n,\mu}(\cdot) \mid \varphi_n\rangle\,;\,\varphi_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes}n}, n = 0,1,2,\dots\right\}$ is called *The Appell System of polynomials* (on $\mathcal{N}'_{\mathbb{C}}$).

2.2 Differential operators and $\mathbb{Q}_\mu$-System

Let $\Phi_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}'^{\hat{\otimes}n}$; define the differential operator $D(\Phi_n) : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}') \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}')$, acting on monomials $\langle x^{\otimes m}|\phi_m\rangle$, $\phi_m \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes}m}$ as

$$D(\Phi_n)\langle x^{\otimes m}|\phi_m\rangle \quad := \quad \frac{m!}{(m-n)!}\langle x^{\otimes(m-n)}\hat{\otimes}\Phi_n|\phi_m\rangle$$

whenever $m > n$ and 0 otherwise. For $\Phi_1 \in \mathcal{N}'_{\mathbb{C}}$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}')$ we have $D(\Phi_1)\varphi = \frac{d}{dt}\varphi(\cdot + t\Phi_1)\big|_{t=0}$.

Lemma 2.2. *(Kondratiev et al.[7]) $D(\Phi_n) : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}') \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}')$ is a continuous linear operator which acts on on monomials$\langle P_{m,\mu}|\phi_m\rangle$, $\phi_m \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes}m}$ as follows:*

$$D(\Phi_n)\langle P_{m,\mu}|\phi_m\rangle = \frac{m!}{(m-n)!}\langle P_{m-n,\mu}\hat{\otimes}\Phi_n|\phi_m\rangle\,,$$

whenever $m > n$ and 0 otherwise. □

For any $\Phi_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}'^{\hat{\otimes}n}$ define the generalized function $Q_{n,\mu}(\Phi_n) \in \mathcal{P}'(\mathcal{N}')$

$$Q_{n,\mu}(\Phi_n) := D(\Phi_n)^*\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{N}'}.$$

Theorem 2.3. *(Kondratiev et al.[7]) There is biorthogonality relation w.r.t. μ*

$$\langle\!\langle Q_{n,\mu}(\Phi_n) \mid \langle P_{m,\mu}|\phi_m\rangle\,\rangle\!\rangle = \delta_{m,n}n!\langle\Phi_n|\phi_n\rangle$$

and every element $\Phi \in \mathcal{P}'(\mathcal{N}')$ can be presented as $\Phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_{n,\mu}(\Phi_n)$. □

2.3 The Test Space of Appell functions $(\mathcal{N})^1$

For each continuous polynomial $\phi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{N}\langle P_{n,\mu}(x)|\phi_n\rangle \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}')$, with $\phi_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes}n}$, define the norm

$$\|\phi\|_{p,q,\mu}^2 := \sum_{n=0}^{N}(n!)^2 2^{qn}\,|\phi_n|_p^2$$

Let the Hilbert space $(H_{p,q,\mu})$ be the completion of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}')$ with respect to the norm $\|\phi\|_{p,q,\mu}$. Define *the test space of Appell functions*

$$(\mathcal{N})^1 := \operatorname{proj}\lim_{p,q\in\mathbf{N}}(H_{p,q,\mu}) \quad .$$

Theorem 2.4. *(Kondratiev et al.[7]) The space* $(\mathcal{N})^1$ *is a nuclear space densely embedded in* $L^2(\mu)$*. Every element* $\varphi \in (\mathcal{N})^1$ *has a unique extension to* $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$ *as an element of the space of entire functions of growth* 1 *and a minimal type* $\mathcal{E}^1_{\min}(\mathcal{N}'_{\mathbb{C}})$ *(see the definition 1.2), and there is the topological identity*

$$(\mathcal{N})^1 = \mathcal{E}^1_{\min}(\mathcal{N}') := \left\{ \varphi\big|_{\mathcal{N}'} ; \varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1_{\min}(\mathcal{N}'_{\mathbb{C}}) \right\},$$

so that $(\mathcal{N})^1$ *does not depend on the choice of the measure* μ. $\square$

Example 2.5. Consider the μ-exponential

$$e_\mu(\xi;\cdot) = \frac{\exp\langle x|\xi\rangle}{\mathrm{E}_\mu(\exp\langle x|\xi\rangle)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}\langle P_{n,\mu}(\cdot)|\xi^{\otimes n}\rangle , \quad \xi \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}} .$$

Its norm

$$\|e_\mu(\theta;\cdot)\|^2_{p,q,\mu} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n!)^2 2^{nq} \left(\frac{|\theta|^n_p}{n!} \right)^2$$

is finite if and only if $|\theta|^2_p < 2^{-q}$, so that $e_\mu(\theta;\cdot) \notin (\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}})^1$ whenever $\theta \neq 0$.

However, for any $\theta \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$, $|\theta|^2_p < 2^{-q}$ we have $e_\mu(\theta;\cdot) \in (H_{p,q,\mu})$, that is $e_\mu(\theta;\cdot)$ is a test function of finite order.

Lemma 2.6. *(Kondratiev et al.[7]) The family of normalized exponentials* $\left\{ e_\mu(\theta;\cdot); |\theta|^2_p < 2^{-q}, \theta \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}} \right\}$ *is a total set in* $(H_{p,q,\mu})$. $\square$

2.4 Space of Generalized Functions $(\mathcal{N})^{-1}$

The Hilbert space $(H_{-p,-q,\mu}) := (H_{p,q,\mu})^*$ can be presented as a subspace of $\mathcal{P}'(\mathcal{N}')$ consisting of those elements $\Phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_{n,\mu}(\Phi_n) \in \mathcal{P}'(\mathcal{N}')$ for which all the norms $\|\Phi\|^2_{-p,-q,\mu} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-qn} |\Phi_n|^2_{-p}$ are finite. Define the inductive limit

$$(\mathcal{N})^{-1}_\mu := \operatorname{ind} \lim_{p,q \in \mathbf{N}} (H_{-p,-q,\mu}).$$

The space $(\mathcal{N})^{-1}_\mu$ is the dual space of $(\mathcal{N})^1$ relative to $L^2(\mu)$. As the inductive limit topology is equivalent to the strong topology on $(\mathcal{N})^{-1}_\mu$, we can drop the subscript μ, unless we want to discuss a particular representation of the space $(\mathcal{N})^{-1}_\mu$.

We obtain the nuclear triple $(\mathcal{N})^1 \subset (L^2) = L^2(\mathcal{N}',\mu) \subset (\mathcal{N})^{-1}_\mu$ and have the following series of continuous embeddings:

$$(\mathcal{N})^1 \subset ... \subset (H_{p,q,\mu}) \subset (L^2)_\mu \subset \ldots \subset (H_{-p,-q,\mu}) \subset ... \subset (\mathcal{N})^{-1}_\mu$$

Example 2.7. (Generalized Radon-Nikodim Derivative). For any $\xi \in \mathcal{N}'$ we can define the generalized function

$$\rho_\mu(\xi) \;\; = \;\; \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n Q_{n,\mu}\left(\frac{\xi^{\otimes n}}{n!}\right) \subset (\mathcal{N})^{-1} \,.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.6 and the following identity

$$\int_{\mathcal{N}'} e_\mu(\eta; x-\xi) d\mu(x) = \frac{\int_{\mathcal{N}'} e^{\langle x-\xi | \eta\rangle} d\mu(x)}{\int_{\mathcal{N}'} e^{\langle x|\eta\rangle} d\mu(x)} = e^{-\langle \xi | \, \eta\rangle} = \langle\!\langle \rho_\mu(\xi) \mid e_\mu(\eta;\cdot)\rangle\!\rangle_\mu$$

that $\rho_\mu(\xi)$ coincides with the Radon-Nikodim derivative $\frac{d\mu(x+\xi)}{d\mu(x)}$ whenever that derivative exists.

2.5 Local S_μ-transform of Generalized Functions

Spaces of test and generalized functions are often characterized by mean of integral transforms. Following Kondratiev et al.[7], let us introduce so called S_μ-transform (which can be regarded as a normalized Laplace transform)

$$(S_\mu \Phi)(\theta) \;\; := \;\; \langle\!\langle \Phi \mid e_\mu(\theta;\cdot)\rangle\!\rangle_\mu \;, \;\; \Phi \in (\mathcal{N})^{-1} \,.$$

This definition needs to be properly interpreted, as $e_\mu(\theta;\cdot) \notin (\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}})^1$ whenever $\theta \neq 0$. However, it is possible to define the S_μ-transform locally as follows.

As every generalized function $\Phi \in (\mathcal{N})^{-1}$ is of finite order, there exist $p, q > 0$ such that $\Phi \in (H_{-p,-q,\mu})$. Consider a 0-neighborhood $U_{p,q} = \{\theta \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}};\, |\theta|_p^2 < 2^{-q}\}$. For $\theta \in U_{p,q}$ we have $e_\mu(\theta;\cdot) \in (H_{p,q,\mu})$, as

$$\|e_\mu(\theta;\cdot)\|_{p,q,\mu}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n!)^2 2^{nq} \left(\frac{|\theta|_p^n}{n!}\right)^2 < \infty \,,$$

Therefore, the bilinear form $\langle\!\langle \Phi \mid e_\mu(\theta;\cdot)\rangle\!\rangle_\mu$ is well defined on $U_{p,q}$.

Theorem 2.8. *(Kondratiev et al.[7]) The S_μ-transform is a topological isomorphism from $(\mathcal{N})^{-1}$ to $\mathrm{Hol}_0(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}})$.* □

2.6 The C_μ-transform of Test Functions

Definition 2.9. The C_μ-transform for a test function $\varphi \in (\mathcal{N})^1$ is defined as

$$(C_\mu \varphi)(\xi) \;\; := \int_{\mathcal{N}'} \varphi(x+\xi) d\mu(x) = \;\; \langle\!\langle \rho_\mu(-\xi) \mid \varphi\rangle\!\rangle_\mu \;, \;\; \xi \in \mathcal{N}'_{\mathbb{C}} \,.$$

Theorem 2.10. *(Kondratiev et al.[7]) The C_μ-transform is a topological isomorphism from $(\mathcal{N})^1$ to $\mathcal{E}^1_{\min}(\mathcal{N}'_{\mathbb{C}})$.* □

Note 2.11. If μ is the Gaussian measure, then the C_μ- and S_μ- transforms coincide.

3 Characterization of Operators $(\mathcal{N})^1 \to (\mathcal{N})^1$

Let $B : (\mathcal{N})^1 \to (\mathcal{N})^1$ be a continuous linear operator, and μ, ν be non-degenerate measures having analytic Laplace transform. We want to represent B by a holomorphic function, that is to define a symbol of B.

3.1 Local $CS_{\mu\nu}$-Symbols

As $(\mathcal{N})^1$ is continuously embedded into $(\mathcal{N})^{-1}$, one can consider the local $S_{\mu\nu}$-symbol $\hat{B}_{\mu,\nu}(\xi,\eta) := S_\mu[Be_\nu(\eta;\cdot)](\xi)$, see the author's thesis[11]. However, because of biorthogonality it is more convenient to work with a somewhat different symbol $\check{B}_{\mu,\nu}(\xi,\eta) := C_\mu[Be_\nu(\eta;\cdot)](\xi) = \langle\!\langle \rho_\mu(-\xi) \mid Be_\nu(\eta;\cdot)\rangle\!\rangle_\mu$, which we are going to introduce now. That definition needs to be carefully interpreted, as $e_\nu(\eta;\cdot)$ is not in $(\mathcal{N})^1$ but rather in one of the approximating Hilbert spaces. The same thing might happen with $Be_\nu(\eta;\cdot)$, so that we also need to extend C_μ-transform from $(\mathcal{N})^1$ to larger spaces.

The space $(\mathcal{N})^1$ is continuously embedded into every Hilbert space $(H_{p_o,q_o,\mu})$, $p_o, q_o \geq 0$. Applying the kernel theorem to the continuous operator

$$B : (\mathcal{N})^1 \to (\mathcal{N})^1 \hookrightarrow (H_{p_o,q_o,\mu})$$

we obtain that there exist integers $p \geq 0$, $q \geq 0$ such that B is a continuous linear operator between Hilbert spaces $(H_{p,q,\nu}) \to (H_{p_o,q_o,\mu})$.

Consider a 0-neighborhood $U_{p,q} = \{\eta \in \mathcal{N}_\mathbb{C};\ |\eta|_p^2 < 2^{-q-1}\}$. For any $\eta \in U_{p,q}$

$$\|e_\nu(\eta;\cdot)\|_{p,q,\nu}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n!)^2 2^{nq} \left(\frac{|\eta^{\otimes n}|_p}{n!}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{1 - 2^q\,|\eta|_p^2} < \infty\,,$$

so that $e_\nu(\eta;\cdot) \in (H_{p,q,\nu})$. For any $\xi \in \mathcal{N}_\mathbb{C} \subset H_{-p_o,\mathbb{C}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\rho_\mu(-\xi)\|_{-p_o,-q_o,\mu} &= \sqrt{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-nq_o} \left(\frac{|\xi^{\otimes n}|_{-p_o}}{n!}\right)^2} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-nq_o/2} \frac{|\xi|_{-p_o}^n}{n!} = \exp\left(\frac{|\xi|_{-p_o}}{2^{q_o/2}}\right)\,, \qquad (1)\end{aligned}$$

so that $\rho_\mu(-\xi) \in (H_{-p_o,-q_o,\mu})$, and we can define the bilinear form

$$\check{B}_{\mu,\nu}(\xi,\eta) := \langle\!\langle \rho_\mu(-\xi) \mid Be_\nu(\eta;\cdot)\rangle\!\rangle_\mu$$

on the open cylinder $\mathcal{N}_\mathbb{C} \times U_{p,q} \subset \mathcal{N}_\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{N}_\mathbb{C}$.

We call that bilinear form the **local $CS_{\mu\nu}$-symbol** of the operator B.

Example 3.1. Let $B : (\mathcal{N})^1 \to (\mathcal{N})^1$ be the continuous operator defined by $B\langle P_{n,\nu} \mid \varphi_n\rangle = \langle P_{n,\mu} \mid \varphi_n\rangle$, $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{N}_\mathbb{C}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$, where μ, ν are two non-degenerate measures with analytic Laplace transform. Then, $\check{B}_{\mu\nu}(\xi,\eta) = \langle\!\langle \rho_\mu(-\xi;\cdot) | e_\mu(\eta;\cdot)\rangle\!\rangle_\mu = e^{\langle \xi | \eta\rangle}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let p_o, and q_o be non-negative integers. Then for any $\varphi \in (H_{p_o,q_o,\mu})$ the function $C_\mu\varphi(\xi) := \langle\langle \rho_\mu(-\xi) \mid \varphi \rangle\rangle_\mu$ is holomorphic on $H_{-p_o,\mathbb{C}}$ and hence on $\mathcal{N}_\mathbb{C} \subset H_{-p_o,\mathbb{C}}$.*

Proof. Let $\left\{\varphi_{(n)} \in (\mathcal{N})^1\right\}$ be a sequence of test functions converging to φ in $(H_{p_o,q_o,\mu})$. Since $\left|\left\langle\left\langle \rho_\mu(-\xi;\cdot) \mid \varphi - \varphi_{(n)} \right\rangle\right\rangle_\mu\right| \le \exp\left(\frac{|\xi|_{-p_o}}{2^{q_o/2}}\right) \left\|\varphi - \varphi_{(n)}\right\|_{p_o,q_o,\mu}$, the sequence of holomorphic functions $C_\mu\varphi_{(n)}(\xi)$ converges to $C_\mu\varphi(\xi)$ in the compact-open topology. Therefore, $C_\mu\varphi(\xi)$ is holomorphic. □

Theorem 3.3. *For any $p_o \ge 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C > 0$ and a 0-neighborhood $U \subset \mathcal{N}_\mathbb{C}$, such that the local $CS_{\mu\nu}$-symbol $\check{B}_{\mu\nu}(\xi,\eta)$ is holomorphic in the cylinder $\mathcal{N}_\mathbb{C} \times U$, and there is an estimate*

$$|\check{B}_{\mu\nu}(\xi,\eta)| \le Ce^{\varepsilon|\xi|_{-p_o}} .$$

Proof. Choose an integer $q_o \ge 0$, such that $2^{-q_o/2} \le \varepsilon$. By the Kernel Theorem, there exist $p \ge 0$, $q \ge 0$, such that the operator $B : (H_{p,q,\nu}) \to (H_{p_o,q_o,\mu})$ is continuous and there is a well defined bilinear form $\check{B}_{\mu\nu}(\xi,\eta) := \langle\langle \rho_\mu(-\xi;\cdot) \mid Be_\nu(\eta;\cdot) \rangle\rangle_\mu$ on the cylinder $\mathcal{N}_\mathbb{C} \times U_{p,q}$, where $U_{p,q} = \{\eta \in \mathcal{N}_\mathbb{C};\, |\eta|_p^2 < 2^{-q-1}\}$.

For fixed η, the function $\check{B}_{\mu\nu}(\xi,\eta) = [C_\mu Be_\nu(\eta;\cdot)](\xi)$ is holomorphic on the whole $\mathcal{N}_\mathbb{C}$ by Lemma 3.2. For fixed ξ, the function $\check{B}_{\mu\nu}(\xi,\cdot)$ is the local S_ν-transform of the distribution $B^*\rho_\mu(-\xi;\cdot) \in (H_{-p,-q,\nu})$, and therefore $\check{B}_{\mu\nu}(\xi,\cdot) \in \mathrm{Hol}_0(\mathcal{N}_\mathbb{C})$. Therefore, the functions of one complex variable

$$\lambda \mapsto \check{B}_{\mu\nu}(\xi_0 + \lambda\xi,\cdot), w \mapsto \check{B}_{\mu\nu}(\cdot,\eta_0 + w\eta)\,; \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \eta_0 \in U_{p,q}; \xi_0,\xi,\eta \in \mathcal{N}_\mathbb{C}$$

are holomorphic at 0. By the Hartogs' theorem (see the textbook of Dineen[4]), the function of two complex variables

$$\lambda, w \mapsto \check{B}_{\mu\nu}(\xi_0 + \lambda\xi, \eta_0 + w\eta)\,; \quad \lambda, w \in \mathbb{C}; \eta_0 \in U_{p,q}; \eta, \xi_0, \xi \in \mathcal{N}_\mathbb{C}$$

is holomorphic at 0. Another words, $\check{B}_{\mu,\nu}(\xi,\eta)$ is G-holomorphic on $\mathcal{N}_\mathbb{C} \times U_{p,q}$.

Observe, that $\check{B}_{\mu,\nu}(\xi,\eta)$ is also locally bounded on $\mathcal{N}_\mathbb{C} \times U_{p,q}$, as there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle\langle \rho_\mu(-\xi;\cdot) \mid e_\nu(\eta;\cdot) \rangle\rangle_\mu| &\le \|\rho_\mu(-\xi;\cdot)\|_{-p_o,-q_o,\mu} C \|e_\nu(\eta;\cdot)\|_{p,q,\nu} \\ &\le C \exp\left(\frac{|\xi|_{-p_o}}{2^{q_o/2}}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2^q |\eta|_p^2}}\,, \end{aligned}$$

where C is the norm of the operator $B : (H_{p,q,\mu}) \to (H_{p_o,q_o,\mu})$. Therefore, $\check{B}_{\mu\nu}(\xi,\eta)$ is holomorphic on $\mathcal{N}_\mathbb{C} \times U_{p,q}$. □

3.2 Reconstruction of Operators from Their Local $CS_{\mu\nu}$-Symbols

Now, let $F(\xi,\eta)$ be a complex valued function defined and G-holomorphic on an open cylinder $\mathcal{N}_\mathbb{C} \times U_0 \subset \mathcal{N}_\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{N}_\mathbb{C}$, and let p_o be a non-negative integer.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $F(\xi,\eta)$ be a complex valued function defined and G-holomorphic on an open cylinder $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}} \times U_0 \subset \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$, and suppose that for any $p_o \geq 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ and a 0-neighborhood $U \subset U_0$ such that*

$$|F(\xi,\eta)| \leq Ce^{\varepsilon|\xi|_{-p_o}}, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}, \eta \in U. \tag{2}$$

Then, there exists a unique continuous operator $B : (\mathcal{N})^1 \to (\mathcal{N})^1$, such that its $CS_{\mu\nu}$-symbol $\check{B}_{\mu\nu}(\xi,\eta)$ coincides with $F(\xi,\eta)$ on some open cylinder $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}} \times U \subset \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Proof. Let $p_o \geq 0$, $q_o \geq 0$. Choose $\bar{p} > p_o$ such that the embedding $I_{\bar{p},p_o} : H_{\bar{p}} \to H_{p_o}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(\varepsilon e)^2 2^{q_o} \|I_{\bar{p},p_o}\|_{HS}^2 < 1$. By assumption, there is $C > 0$ and a neighborhood $U \subset U_0$ such that $|F(\xi,\eta)| \leq Ce^{\varepsilon|\xi|_{-p_o}}$ on $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}} \times U$. Therefore, the function F is holomorphic on $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}} \times U$.

Let $\delta > 0$ be such that $\{\eta \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}; |\eta|_{\bar{p}} \leq \delta\} \subset U$. For every $(\xi,\eta) \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$, $|\eta|_{\bar{p}} \leq 1$ the function of two complex variables $(s,t) \mapsto F(s\xi, t\eta)$ can be expanded into the power series

$$F(s\xi, t\eta) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{F^{(m,n)}(\xi,..,\xi;\eta,...,\eta)}{m!n!} s^m t^n$$

converging on the cylinder $\{(s,t) \in \mathbb{C}^2; |t| \leq \delta\}$. Here $F^{(m,n)}$ is an $(m+n)$-linear form

$$F^{(m,n)}(\xi_1,..,\xi_m;\eta_1,...,\eta_n) \quad = \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial s_1} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial s_m} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n} F(s_1\xi_1 + .. + s_n\xi_m; t_1\eta_1 + ...t_n\eta_n)$$

symmetric with respect to the first m and the last n variables. For any $R > 0$ we have the Cauchy formula

$$\frac{1}{m!n!} F^{(m,n)}(\xi,..,\xi;\eta,...,\eta) = (2\pi i)^{-2} \int\limits_{|s|=R,|t|=\delta} \frac{F(s\xi,t\eta)}{s^{m+1}t^{n+1}}\, ds\, dt$$

which gives the following Cauchy inequality for $|\xi|_{-\bar{p}} \leq 1, |\eta|_{\bar{p}} \leq 1$

$$\left| \frac{1}{m!n!} F^{(m,n)}(\xi,..,\xi;\eta,...,\eta) \right| \leq Ce^{\varepsilon R} R^{-m} \delta^{-n}, \tag{3}$$

so that $\sup\left\{\left|\frac{1}{m!n!}F^{(m,n)}(\xi,..,\xi;\eta,...,\eta)\right|; |\xi|_{-\bar{p}} \leq 1, |\eta|_{\bar{p}} \leq 1\right\} \leq Ce^{\varepsilon R}R^{-m}\delta^{-n}$. Choose $R = \frac{m}{\varepsilon}$. Using the polarization identity and inequality $\frac{n^n}{n!} \leq e^n$, we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\frac{1}{m!n!} F^{(m,n)}(\xi_1,..,\xi_m;\eta_1,...,\eta_n)| &\leq \frac{m^m}{m!}\frac{n^n}{n!} Ce^{\varepsilon\frac{m}{\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{m}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-m} \delta^{-n} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{m!} e^n (\varepsilon e)^m \delta^{-n} \end{aligned}$$

on the "unit polydisc" $\{(\xi_1,..,\xi_m;\eta_1,...,\eta_n);\xi_i\in\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}},\eta_j\in\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}},|\xi_i|_{-\bar{p}}\leq 1,|\eta_j|_{\bar{p}}\leq 1\}$. For any $\xi_1,..,\xi_m$, $\eta_1,...,\eta_n\in\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$ we have the estimate

$$|\frac{1}{m!n!}F^{(m,n)}(\xi_1,..,\xi_m;\eta_1,...,\eta_n)|\leq C\frac{(\varepsilon e)^m}{m!}\left(\frac{e}{\delta}\right)^n\prod_{i=1}^m|\xi_i|_{-\bar{p}}\prod_{j=1}^n|\theta_j|_{\bar{p}}\ .$$

By the kernel theorem, for all $p>\bar{p}$ such that the embedding $I_{p,\bar{p}}:H_p\to H_{\bar{p}}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operators, there exist unique kernels $f_{m,n}\in H_{p_o,\mathbf{C}}^{\hat{\otimes}m}\otimes H_{-p,\mathbf{C}}^{\hat{\otimes}n}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{m!n!}F^{(m,n)}(\xi_1,..,\xi_m;\eta_1,...,\eta_n)=\langle f_{m,n}|\xi_1\hat{\otimes}..\hat{\otimes}\xi_m\otimes\eta_1\hat{\otimes}...\hat{\otimes}\eta_n\rangle\ .$$

Moreover, we have the following norm estimate

$$|f_{m,n}|_{p_o,-p}\leq C\frac{(\varepsilon e\|I_{\bar{p},p_o}\|_{HS})^m}{m!}\left(\frac{e}{\delta}\|I_{p,\bar{p}}\|_{HS}\right)^n\ .$$

For any $\phi_n\in H_{p,\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes}n}$ define $\langle f_{m,n}|n!\phi_n\rangle\in H_{p_o,\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes}m}$ by the formula $\langle\langle f_{m,n}|n!\phi_n\rangle\bigm|\psi_m\rangle:=n!\langle f_{m,n}|\psi_m\otimes\phi_n\rangle$. The definition is correct as we have the following norm estimate

$$|\langle f_{m,n}|n!\phi_n\rangle|_{p_o}\leq n!C\frac{(\varepsilon e\|I_{\bar{p},p_o}\|_{HS})^m}{m!}\left(\frac{e}{\delta}\|I_{p,\bar{p}}\|_{HS}\right)^n|\phi_n|_p\ ,$$

which shows that $\phi_n\mapsto\langle f_{mn}|n!\phi_n\rangle$ defines a continuous linear operator $H_{p,\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes}n}\to H_{p_o,\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes}m}$.

For an element $\phi(\cdot)=\sum_{n=0}^\infty\langle P_{n,\mu}(\cdot);\phi_n\rangle\in(H_{p,q,\nu})$, where $\phi_n\in H_{p,\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes}n}$, put

$$b_m\phi:=\sum_{n=0}^\infty\langle f_{m,n}|n!\phi_n\rangle\ ,\quad m=0,1\ldots.$$

Using the Schwartz inequality we obtain the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|b_m\phi|_{p_o}^2 &\leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^\infty|\langle f_{m,n}|n!\phi_n\rangle|_{p_o}\right)^2\\
&\leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^\infty n!\,|f_{m,n}|_{p_o,-p}\,|\phi_n|_p\right)^2\\
&= \left(\sum_{n=0}^\infty n!2^{nq/2}\,|\phi_n|_p\,2^{-nq/2}\,|f_{m,n}|_{p_o,-p}\right)^2\\
&\leq \sum_{n=0}^\infty(n!)^2 2^{nq}\,|\phi_n|_p^2\sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-nq}\,|f_{m,n}|_{p_o,-p}^2\\
&\leq \|\phi\|_{p,q,\nu}^2\,C\frac{(\varepsilon e\|I_{\bar{p},p_o}\|_{HS})^{2m}}{(m!)^2}\sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-nq}\left(\frac{e}{\delta}\|I_{p,\bar{p}}\|_{HS}\right)^{2n}\\
&= \|\phi\|_{p,q,\nu}^2\,C\frac{(\varepsilon e\|I_{\bar{p},p_o}\|_{HS})^{2m}}{(m!)^2}\left(1-2^{-q}\left(\frac{e}{\delta}\right)^2\|I_{p,\bar{p}}\|_{HS}^2\right)^{-1}\ , \quad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

where q is any positive integer such that $2^{-q}\left(\frac{e}{\delta}\right)^2 \|I_{p,\bar{p}}\|_{HS}^2 < 1$. That estimate shows that $b_m\phi \in H_{p_o,\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} m}$. It also follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m!)^2 2^{mq_o} \left|b_m\phi\right|_{p_o}^2 \\
\leq \quad & C\,\|\phi\|_{p,q,\nu}^2 \left(1-(\varepsilon e)^2 2^{q_o}\,\|I_{\bar{p},p_o}\|_{HS}^2\right)^{-1} \left(1-2^{-q}\left(\frac{e}{\delta}\right)^2 \|I_{p,\bar{p}}\|_{HS}^2\right)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $p_o, q_o \geq 0$ there exist $p \geq p_o, q \geq 0$ such that

$$B : \phi \mapsto \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P_{m,\mu}(b_m\phi)$$

is a continuous linear operator from $(H_{p,q,\nu})$ to $(H_{p_o,q_o,\mu})$. This operator is unique, as the set $\{e_\nu(\eta,\cdot);\eta\in\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}, |\eta|_p\leq\delta\}$ is total in $(H_{p,q,\nu})$ by Lemma 2.6, the set $\{\rho_\mu(\xi,\cdot);\xi\in\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}\}$ is total in $(H_{-p_o,-q_o,\mu})$ by Theorem 2.10, and there is the following identity for the $CS_{\mu\nu}$ -symbol

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{B}_{\mu\nu}(\xi,\eta) &= \langle\!\langle \rho_\mu(-\xi;\cdot) | Be_\nu(\eta;\cdot)\rangle\!\rangle_\mu \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m! \left\langle \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! \left\langle f_{m,n} \mid \frac{\xi^{\otimes n}}{n!}\right\rangle\right) \middle| \frac{\eta^{\otimes m}}{m!}\right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \langle f_{m,n} | \xi^{\otimes m}\otimes\eta^{\otimes n}\rangle \\
&= F(\xi,\eta)\,, \quad \text{for } (\xi,\eta)\in\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}\times\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}\,,\ |\eta|_p \leq |\eta|_{\bar{p}} < \delta\,.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $B(\mathcal{N})^1 \subset (\mathcal{N})^1$, and $B : (\mathcal{N})^1 \to (\mathcal{N})^1$ is the unique continuous operator such that its $CS_{\mu\nu}$-symbol $\check{B}_{\mu\nu}(\xi,\eta)$ coincides with $F(\xi,\eta)$ on some open cylinder $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}\times U \subset \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}\times\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$. ☐

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my gratitude to Prof. Alexander Dynin for his encouragement and helpful discussions.

References

[1] S. Albeverio, Y. L. Daletsky, Y. G. Kondratiev, and L. Streit. Non-Gaussian infinite-dimensional analysis. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 138(2):311–350, 1996.

[2] N. Asai, I. Kubo, and H.-H. Kuo. Gaussian and Poisson white noises with related characterization theorems. In *Finite and infinite dimensional analysis in honor of Leonard Gross (New Orleans, LA, 2001)*, volume 317 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 45–56. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

[3] Y. L. Daletskiĭ. A bi-orthogonal analogue of Hermite polynomials and the inversion of the Fourier transform with respect to a non-Gaussian measure. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.*, 25(2):68–70, 1991.

[4] S. Dineen. *Complex analysis in locally convex spaces*, volume 57 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1981. Notas de Matemática [Mathematical Notes], 83.

[5] T. Hida. White noise analysis: a new frontier. Number 499, Rome, 2002. Centro Vito Volterra.

[6] Y. G. Kondratiev, J. L. Silva, and L. Streit. Generalized Appell systems. *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology*, 3(3):28–61, 1997.

[7] Y. G. Kondratiev, L. Streit, W. Westerkamp, and J.-a. Yan. Generalized functions in infinite-dimensional analysis. *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 28(2):213–260, 1998.

[8] N. Obata. *White noise calculus and Fock space*, volume 1577 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

[9] H. H. Schaefer. *Topological vector spaces*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971. Third printing corrected, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 3.

[10] A. V. Skorohod. *Integration in Hilbert space*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974. Translated from the Russian by Kenneth Wickwire, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 79.

[11] E. Yablonsky. *Characterization of operators in non-Gaussian infinite dimensional analysis*. PhD thesis, Ohio State University, 2003.